Expedited Procedure

Examining Group 1645

Application No. 10/536,533 Paper Dated: April 5, 2011

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of January 5, 2011

Attorney Docket No. 4544-051675

REMARKS

Claims 23-28 are pending in this Application. Claim 28 has been withdrawn and claims 23-27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Nilsson¹ in view of Sukosol² (claims 24 and 25) or as being obvious over Nilsson and Sukosol in view of Salzman³ et al. (WO 01/040280) ("Salzman") and Fruitstone⁴ (claims 23, 24, 26 and 27).

REJECTION OF CLAIMS 24 AND 25

Claim 24 is directed to an agglutination reagent for rapid and early detection of typhoid. As amended, claim 24 recites that the reagent consists essentially of a plurality of carboxylated latex particles consisting essentially of an antibody specific to a Flagellin gene of *Salmonella typhi*. The particles are stored in a storage buffer. Claim 25 depends from claim 24 and further defines the particles.

Nilsson is directed to a system for detecting protein using two different monoclonal antibodies for human chorionic gonadotropin ("hCG").⁵ There are two different monoclonal antibodies covalently bound to the latex particles.⁶ Once the latex particles are created, Nilsson teaches washing the particles with Tris-BSA and blocking the carboxyl group with Tris-HCL.⁷ When a test reagent is mixed with an hCG containing sample, an immune

¹ Nilsson *et al.* "Microparticles for selective protein determination in capillary electrophoresis," ELECTROPHORESIS, (2001) 22: 2384-2390 ("Nilsson").

² Sukosol *et al.*, "Fusion protein of *Salmonella typhi* flagellin as antigen for diagnosis of typhoid fever," ASIAN PACIFIC J. OF ALLERGY AND IMMUN., (1994) 12:21-25 ("Sukosol").

³ WO 01/040280 to Salzman et al. ("Salzman").

⁴ U.S. Patent No. 4,379,847 to Fruitstone et al. ("Fruitstone").

⁵ Nilsson at abstract.

⁶ Nilsson at abstract.

⁷ Nilsson at page 2385.

Expedited Procedure

Examining Group 1645

Application No. 10/536,533 Paper Dated: April 5, 2011

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of January 5, 2011

Attorney Docket No. 4544-051675

complex is formed.⁸ The complex is separated from the latex particles using capillary electrophoresis and detected by a UV-Vis detection system.⁹ Since Nilsson discusses using an

instrument to detect the hCG, the system is confined to the laboratory, and cannot be used in the

field. A capillary electrophoresis is different from an agglutination system.

Nilsson also fails to disclose the recited antibody, an agglutination reagent, and

the storage buffer.

Sukosol used a 900 base pair gene sequence specific to the Salmonella typhi

flagellin gene to make a fusion protein with a GST tag in a vector for detection of the antibody

(IgM) in serum samples of individuals suspected to have typhoid or related infection. 10 Sukosol

does not disclose using the Salmonella typhi flagellin gene product for making an antibody,

binding the antibody to a latex particle or using the antibody in an agglutination reagent.

Point I. The cited references do not teach or suggest a plurality of latex particles

coated with a single antibody.

There is no reason why one would reasonably expect Nilsson's invention to work

if the second antibody is removed. Assuming that one was motivated to combine the references,

one would create a monoclonal antibody raised against Sukosol's 900 base pair gene sequence

specific to Salmonella typhi. This antibody would be reacted with Nilsson's latex particle. The

resulting reagent would contain two types of particles, each with a different antibody.

In contrast, claims 24 and 25 recite that the reagent consists essentially of a

plurality of carboxylated latex particles coated with a single antibody. Since there is no reason to

remove the second antibody from Nilsson, the invention is not obvious over the cited references.

⁸ Nilsson at abstract.

⁹ Nilsson at abstract.

¹⁰ Sukosol at page 23, column 3.

Page 6 of 15

Expedited Procedure

Examining Group 1645

Application No. 10/536,533 Paper Dated: April 5, 2011

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of January 5, 2011

Attorney Docket No. 4544-051675

Point II. There is no teaching or reason to use the recited storage buffer.

Neither Nilsson nor Sukosol teaches the storage buffer recited in claim 24. This

deficiency is not overcome by Fruitstone because there is no reason to expect that Fruitstone can

be applied to Nilsson's method.

Fruitstone discloses a suspending medium for immunohematologic reactions like

antibody screening, antibody identification, crossmatches, antiglobulin testing, blood grouping,

etc. 11 While, in passing, it mentions that "the solution may also be used in other types of

immunologic reactions, such as latex particle agglutination tests,"12 it does not provide sufficient

evidence to enable one of ordinary skill to reasonably believe that this would be expected to

work. It fails to provide any experiment details with respect to detection of viruses or bacteria.

This information is necessary to provide one a reasonable expectation that the medium would not

induce false positives, or, more specifically, the likelihood of false positives in latex particle

agglutination tests.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS 23, 24, 26 AND 27

Claim 23 is directed to a process for the preparation of an agglutination reagent

for rapid and early detection of typhoid comprising preparing antibody specific to a Flagellin

gene of Salmonella typhi, preparing a latex particle suspension, and coating of a plurality of latex

particles with the antibody. The antibody is prepared by raising a hyper immune sera against a

purified protein encoded by a Flagellin gene specific to Salmonella typhi.

The latex particle suspension is prepared in part by mixing 1% carboxylated latex

particles and 40 mM 2-N morpholinoethane sulphonic acid (MES) buffer of pH 5.5 to 6.0 in a

ratio of 1:1, washing twice with 20 mM MES buffer of pH 5.5 thereby forming a plurality of

¹¹ Fruitstone at col. 4, lines 26-35.

¹² Fruitstone at col. 4, lines 31-35.

Page 7 of 15

Expedited Procedure

Examining Group 1645

Application No. 10/536,533

Paper Dated: April 5, 2011

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of January 5, 2011

Attorney Docket No. 4544-051675

washed latex particles. 1-ethyl-3 (3-dimethyl-amino propyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC)

in 20 mM MES buffer of pH 5.5 is added to the washed latex particles.

The washed latex particles are coated with the antibody fraction. The reaction is

stopped with 1M glycine (pH 11.0), and then the antibody coated latex particles are washed with

a buffer comprising 50 mM glycine, pH 8.5; 0.03% surfactant and 0.05 % sodium azida.

As discussed above, claim 24 is directed to an agglutination reagent for rapid and

early detection of typhoid. As amended, claim 24 recites that the reagent consists essentially of a

plurality of carboxylated latex particles coated with an antibody specific to a Flagellin gene of

Salmonella typhi. The particles are stored in a storage buffer. Claims 26 and 27 depend from

claim 24.

Nilsson is directed to a system for detecting protein using two different

monoclonal antibodies for human chorionic gonadotropin ("hCG").13 There are two different

monoclonal antibodies covalently bound to the latex particles.¹⁴ Once the latex particles are

created, Nilsson teaches washing the particles with Tris-BSA and blocking the carboxyl group

with Tris-HCL. 15 When a test reagent is mixed with hCG containing sample, an immune

complex is formed.¹⁶ The complex is separated from the latex particles using capillary

electrophoresis and detected by UV-Vis detection system.¹⁷ Since Nilsson discusses using an

instrument to detect the hCG, the system is confined to the laboratory, and cannot be used in the

field. A capillary electrophoresis is different from an agglutination system.

¹³ Nilsson at abstract.

¹⁴ Nilsson at abstract.

¹⁵ Nilsson at page 2385.

¹⁶ Nilsson at abstract.

¹⁷ Nilsson at abstract.

Page 8 of 15

Expedited Procedure

Examining Group 1645

Application No. 10/536,533

Paper Dated: April 5, 2011

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of January 5, 2011

Attorney Docket No. 4544-051675

Nilsson does not disclose several of the limitations recited in claim 23. Namely,

Nilsson does not disclose: an antibody specific to Salmonella typhi Flagellin gene product

because Nilsson is directed to hCG, washing the polyclonal monospecific coated latex particle

with MES because Nilsson teaches washing with Tris-BSA, and blocking the carboxyl group

with Tris-HCl, or an agglutination reagent because Nilsson teaches capillary electrophoresis.

Nilsson also fails to disclose several limitations recited in claims 24, 26, and 27. Namely, it fails

to disclose: the recited antibody, an agglutination reagent, and the storage buffer.

Sukosol used a 900 base pair gene sequence specific to the Salmonella typhi

flagellin gene to make a fusion protein with a GST tag in a vector for detection of the antibody

(IgM) in serum samples of individuals suspected to have typhoid or related infection. ¹⁸ Sukosol

does not disclose using the Salmonella typhi flagellin gene product for making an antibody,

binding the antibody to a latex particle or using the antibody in an agglutination reagent.

Salzman generally is directed to a polypeptide derived from flagellin polypeptides

used to generate an immune response to gram-negative bacteria. ¹⁹ Salzman used a portion of a

Salmonella muenchen specific flagellin gene product to prepare an antibody. 20 The gene product

is comprised of less than 160 amino acids, which also match the flagellin amino acid sequence of

other gram negative bacteria. Salzman used GST as a tag with the gene sequence to make the

fusion protein.²¹ Antibodies raised against this protein will not only react with clinical samples

of Salmonella muenchen and other gram-negative bacteria, but will also react with parasitic

infections caused by Schistosoma japonicum. Therefore, Salzman does not teach a polyclonal

antibody, nor a monospecific antibody because the antibody generated, according to Salzman's

disclosure, will not be specific to Salmonella muechen. Salzman also does not disclose (1) the

¹⁸ Sukosol at page 23, column 3.

¹⁹ Salzman at abstract.

²⁰ Salzman at pages 11-21.

²¹ Salzman at page 31.

Page 9 of 15

Expedited Procedure

Examining Group 1645

Application No. 10/536,533

Paper Dated: April 5, 2011

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of January 5, 2011

Attorney Docket No. 4544-051675

recited antibody raised against Salzman's protein will react with Salmonella muenchen, other

gram-negative bacteria and parasites such as Schistosoma japonicum, (2) using a latex particle,

or (3) the recited reagents.

Fruitstone is directed to a suspending medium for use in immunological reactions,

specifically immunohematologic reactions.²² Although Fruitstone mentions in passing that its

solution can be used in other immunologic reactions such as latex particle agglutination tests,23 it

does not provide any experiments or other details for the use of its suspending medium in such

reactions.

Point I. There is no reason to eliminate Nilsson's second antibody

There is no reason why one would reasonably expect Nilsson's invention to work

if the second antibody is not present. Assuming that one was motivated to combine the

references, one would create a monoclonal antibody raised against Sukosol's 900 base pair gene

sequence specific to Salmonella typhi. This antibody would be reacted with Nilsson's latex

particle. The resulting product would contain at least a first and a second latex particle, each

coated with a different antibody.

In contrast, claim 23 recites "... coating a plurality of latex particle particles with

said antibody specific to said Flagellin gene of Salmonella typhi" Likewise, claims 24, 26

and 27 recite that the reagent consists essentially of latex particles that are coated with an

antibody, not two different antibodies. Since there is no reason for one to have removed the

second antibody from Nilsson, the invention is not obvious over the cited references.

²² Fruitstone at col. 1, lines 10-14.

²³ Fruitstone at col. 4, lines 31-33.

Page 10 of 15

Expedited Procedure

Examining Group 1645

Application No. 10/536,533 Paper Dated: April 5, 2011

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of January 5, 2011

Attorney Docket No. 4544-051675

surfactant and 0.05% sodium azide.

Point II. There is no reason to substitute Nilsson's blocking and washing steps with

the recited ones.

Additionally, the cited references do not teach the recited blocking and washing steps, and there is no reason to substitute Nilsson's washing and blocking steps with the recited washing step. Nilsson teaches blocking the residual activated carboxyl groups with 0.1 M Trus-HCl, pH 8.0 containing 0.2% BSA, and washing the antibody coated latex particles with Tris-BSA. However, claim 23 recites that the reaction between the latex particle coated and the polyclonal-monospecific antibody is stopped with 1M glycine (pH 11.0), and the polyclonal-monospecific antibody coated latex particle is washed with 50 mM glycine, pH 8.5; 0.03%

Nilsson teaches using BSA to activate the particle and to stop the reaction. In contrast, claim 23 recites that the reaction is stopped with 1M glycine (pH 11.0), and that the coated latex particles are washed with a washing buffer comprised of 50 mM glycine, pH 8.5; 0.03% surfactant and 0.05% sodium azide. The concentrations of reagents, pH and temperature play a critical role in *in vitro* immunological tests (see J. Exp. Med. (1924) vol. 39(2): 265; also see J. Exp. Med. (1926) vol. 44(5): 667; see also J. INFECT. DIS. (1933) vol. 53(1); see also J. IMMUNOL. (1948) vol. 58: 229; see also J. IMMUNOL. (1973) col. 111: 478; see also ESSENTIALS OF IMMUNOL. AND SEROL. (2002): 204-205).

Point III. There is no teaching or reason to use the recited storage buffer.

Neither Nilsson nor Sukosol teaches the storage buffer recited in claim 24 and further defined in claim 26. This deficiency is not overcome by Fruitstone because there is no reason to expect that Fruitstone can be applied to Nilsson's method.

Fruitstone discloses a suspending medium for immunohematologic reactions like antibody screening, antibody identification, crossmatches, antiglobulin testing, blood grouping,

Response Under 37 CFR §1.116 Expedited Procedure

Examining Group 1645

Application No. 10/536,533 Paper Dated: April 5, 2011

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of January 5, 2011

Attorney Docket No. 4544-051675

etc.²⁴ While, in passing, it mentions that "the solution may also be used in other types of immunologic reactions, such as latex particle agglutination tests,"²⁵ it does not provide sufficient evidence to enable one of ordinary skill to reasonably believe that this would be expected to work. It fails to provide any experiment details with respect to detection of viruses or bacteria. This information is necessary to provide one a reasonable expectation that the medium would not induce false positives, or, more specifically, the likelihood of false positives in latex particle agglutination tests.

Point IV. The secondary evidence rebuts any prima facie case of obviousness.

Notwithstanding the reasons set-forth above, even assuming that a *prima facie* case of obviousness has been established, the secondary evidence of long-felt but unresolved need, failure of others and commercial success rebuts the rejection. Typhoid is one of the most prevalent diseases afflicting countries in tropical regions of the world. It is also a very difficult disease to diagnose. The gold standard for diagnosing Typhoid is by taking a culture. However, this diagnostic test is very time consuming. Other methods include the Widal test, Widal slide agglutination Test, Radioimmunoassay, ELISA based antigen detection methods and commercially available TyphidotTM. These methods all have several shortcomings, as listed in Table 1.

Table 1: Shortcomings of Typhoid Tests

Test	Shortcoming
Widal Test	Takes 18-25 hours after 6-7 days of enteric fever ²⁶
Widal Slide Agglutination Test	Take 1-3 min. after 6-7 days of enteric fever ²⁷

²⁴ Fruitstone at col. 4, lines 26-35.

²⁵ Fruitstone at col. 4, lines 31-35.

²⁶ Specification at page 2, lines 5-6.

Expedited Procedure Examining Group 1645

Application No. 10/536,533 Paper Dated: April 5, 2011

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of January 5, 2011

Attorney Docket No. 4544-051675

Test	Shortcoming
Culture Test	Takes 3-14 days after first day of fever ²⁸
ELISA based test	Takes 8 hours after 6-7 days of enteric fever
Radioimmunoassay	Very complicated and involves the use of radioactive material, which is not available in many countries where Typhiod is a health concern. ²⁹

In contrast to these shortcomings, the claimed invention provides a process for the preparation of an agglutination reagent that can be used to detect typhoid early and rapidly with 100% specificity. The recited invention takes approximately 1-2 minutes to develop a color positive sample.³⁰ Since the invention relies on seeing a color, an untrained person can read the results. Thus, the invention is fast and easy to use in comparison to the prior art.

Furthermore, the invention can be used to detect typhoid at the onset of infection. The prior art is not capable of doing this.

Additionally, the invention is highly specific and sensitive for detecting typhoid. In contrast, the Widal test cross-reacts with other febrile organisms and other organisms within the Enterobacteriaceae family.³¹ Also, it provides false positives when administered to a person who has been vaccinated, or when the appropriate baseline level of typhoid for a region is not known (typhoid, being an endemic, causes background level of antibody in endemic areas).³²

²⁷ Specification at page 2, lines 5-6.

²⁸ Specification at page 2, line 20 to page 3, line 16

²⁹ Specification at page 3, line 17 to page 4, line 3.

³⁰ Specification at page 7, lines 17-19.

³¹ Specification at page 1, lines 24-26.

³² Specification at page 2, lines 1-4.

Expedited Procedure

Examining Group 1645

Application No. 10/536,533 Paper Dated: April 5, 2011

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of January 5, 2011

Attorney Docket No. 4544-051675

The culturing technique is also a low sensitivity test for typhoid (40-60 %) because there are

very few organisms in circulation (as low as 1 / ml), which leads to false negatives.³³

The agglutination reagent is stable for more than nine months at 4°C.

Finally, a leading Indian company has already taken interest in this invention.

Thus, there is a long-felt, but unresolved need for a fast and easy typhoid

diagnostic test that can detect typhoid in its early stages. The prior art only teaches tests that are

either time consuming (e.g. culture tests), or can only detect typhoid 6-7 days after enteric fever

has been observed. Others who have tried to address this problem have failed by either

developing a test that is time consuming, or can only detect typhoid 6-7 days after enteric fever.

In view of this secondary evidence, Applicants have rebutted any prima facie case

of obviousness set-forth by the Examiner. In the absence of evidence to the contrary, the

Applicants respectfully request that this rejection be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Point V. There is no reason to use an agglutination test.

Claim 23 is directed to agglutination tests, whereas Nilsson is directed to a

capillary electrophoresis detection system. In Nilsson's system, when the antibody-coated latex

particle reacts with the protein, the complex is separated from single latex particles using

capillary eletrophoresis and detected by ultra violet diode array detection or laser-induced

fluorescence imaging detection.³⁴

Sukosol does not overcome this deficiency because it is not directed to an

agglutination system. Sukosol is solely directed to the existence of a 52kDa antigen of

³³ Specification at page 3, lines 8-10.

³⁴ Nilsson at page 2384, col. 1.

Page 14 of 15

Response Under 37 CFR §1.116 Expedited Procedure

Examining Group 1645

Application No. 10/536,533 Paper Dated: April 5, 2011

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of January 5, 2011

Attorney Docket No. 4544-051675

Salmonella typhi detected by monoclonal antibodies via Western blot and ELISA assays. These methods do not permit one to detect Salmonella typhi with the naked eye.

CONCLUSION

Due to the differences discussed above, a combination of the cited references do not result in the recited invention. For these reasons, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the objections and rejections, allowance of pending claims 23-27, and rejoinder of claim 28.

Respectfully submitted,

THE WEBB LAW FIRM

William H. Logsdon

Registration No. 22,132

Attorney for Applicants

436 Seventh Avenue

700 Koppers Building

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Telephone: (412) 471-8815 Facsimile: (412) 471-4094

E-mail: webblaw@webblaw.com